

## MHHS Cross Code Advisory Group (CCAG) Minutes and Actions

Issue date: 04/10/2023

Meeting number **CCAG022**

Venue

**Virtual – MS Teams**

Date and time **27 September 2023 10:00-12:00**

Classification

**Public**

### Attendees

#### **Chair**

Chris Welby

#### **Role**

SRO SME

#### **Industry Representatives**

Andrew Green (AG)

Supplier Representative (I&C Suppliers)

Andrew Wallace (AW)

RECCo Representative

Christopher Day (CD)

Elexon Representative

Harriet Truss (HT)

REC Code Manager

Jacqui Barton (JBa) *as alternative to Fungai Madzivadondo*

DNO/iDNO Representative

Lawrence Jones (LJ)

BSC Representative

Milly Lewis (ML)

CUSC Representative

Neil Dewar (ND)

National Grid ESO Representative

Richard Vernon (RV)

DCC Representative

Sarah Jones (SJ)

RECCo Representative

Tim Newton (TN)

SEC Representative

Tom Chevalier (TC)

Supplier Agent Representative (Independent Supplier Agent)

#### **MHHS Programme**

Amy Clayton (AC)

PMO Governance Support

Andrew Margan (AM)

Governance Manager

Immy Syms (IS)

PMO Support

Jason Brogden (JBr)

Industry Expert

Kevin Spencer (KS)

Market Architect

Mark DeSouzaWilson (MDSW)

Code Drafter

Matthew Hall (MH)

Senior Business Analyst

Matthew McKeon (MMK)

Market Architect

Simon Chidwick (SC)

Design Administrator

#### **Other attendees**

Rhiannon Harrison (RH)

IPA

Sinead Quinn (SQ)

Ofgem

### Apologies

Fungai Madzivadondo (FM)

DNO/iDNO Representative

Caroline Farquhar (CF)

Consumer Representative

Clare Hannah (CH)

Supplier Agent Representative

Paul Saker (PS)

Supplier Representative (Domestic)

## Actions

Area	Ref	Action	Owner	Due
Minutes and actions	CCAG22-01	Ofgem to take away if the timing for the P432 decision could be brought forward or compromise with a minded-to decision, and feedback to CCAG members.	Ofgem (Sinead Quinn)	25/10/23
Horizon Scanning Log	CCAG22-02	REC to take away the comment on R0062 and feedback to CCAG members.	REC (Harriet Truss)	25/10/23
Horizon Scanning Log	CCAG22-03	Programme to assess if elements of R0062 are a MHHS Design or REC issue.	Programme (Matt Hall)	25/10/23
CCAG Reporting, Risks and Milestones	CCAG22-04	Programme to update mitigation action on R355.	Programme (PMO)	25/10/23
Open action from previous meeting(s)	CCAG21-02	Programme to engage with Elexon regarding concerns around R0144 (linked to P459) impacts to the MHHS Programme.	Programme (Chris Welby)	27/09/23
	CCAG21-08	Programme plan to be updated to reflect Elexon's amended consequential change delivery date.	Programme (Andrew Margan)	27/09/23

## Decisions

Area	Dec Ref	Decision
Minutes and Actions	CCAG-DEC35	Minutes and Headline Report of CCAG meeting held 24 August 2023 approved.
CDWG Escalations	CCAG-DEC36	The CCAG approved the CDWG recommendation of BSC Central Services Code Artefacts.
CCAG Reporting, Risks and Milestones	CCAG-DEC37	CCAG recommended a request to PSG that the code workstream milestones be updated from Tier 2 to Tier 3.

## RAID Items

RAID area	Description
None	

## Minutes

### 1. **Welcome**

The Chair welcomed attendees to the meeting and outlined the meeting agenda.

### 2. **Minutes and Actions**

The Chair asked if there were any questions from the headline report and minutes from last month's CCAG. No questions raised.

**DECISION CCAG-DEC33:** Minutes and Headline Report of CCAG meeting held 26 July 2023 approved.

The CCAG reviewed outstanding actions, updates for which can be found within the meeting papers.

- **CCAG19-04:** *Ofgem to discuss putting out a statement to industry which explains the rationale behind delay of the P432 decision).*

SQ, from Ofgem, provided an update on the action above. SQ shared that Ofgem are considering if the DCUSA modification should come into force before the start of migration under P432. It was noted that Ofgem approved DCP414 on 31 July 2023 (comes into force on 01 April 2024), therefore they intend to make its decision on P432 at the start of January 2024, this will give industry the required three-month preparation time.

TC, the Supplier Agent representative, queried if P432 will be approved in January 2024, and highlighted that an earlier decision would allow industry longer to plan and prepare. SQ responded that Ofgem would decide on the approval in January, she said Ofgem would take TCs views on board, however this is their current position.

LJ, the BSC representative added that the date for P432 will be three months after Ofgem approval which is the minimum period industry would need for implementation and tariffs, and further noted that that any change in the dates for P432 decision would mean additional paperwork, therefore this date is largely fixed. LJ suggested the potential of a compromise with a minded-to decision.

SQ took an action to take away these comments and feedback to CCAG members.

**ACTION CCAG22-01:** Ofgem to take away if the timing for the P432 decision could be brought forward or compromise with a minded-to decision, and feedback to CCAG members.

### 3. Programme updates

The item was considered as read by attendees, with no questions.

### 4. Horizon Scanning Log

#### BSC

LJ provided an overview of their change updates. It was noted that issue 109 is the only new update.

- **Issue 109 'Treatment of domestic solar self-consumption within Settlement':** new issue. LJ noted that any changes to this will be progressed after Half-Hourly has gone live and therefore more BAU than something the Programme would be needing to manage. LJ shared he would report back to CCAG members on further discussions.
- **P432 'Half Hourly Settlement for CT Advanced Metering Systems':** LJ shared that there are no updates to this, currently with Ofgem for decision.
- **P455 'On-Site Aggregation as a method to facilitate Third Party Access':** LJ shared that there are no updates to this, once the solution has matured, they will assess if a change request (CR) is needed.
- **P458 'Introducing Data Controller and Processor Obligations in the BSC for MHHS Testing':** LJ shared this is with Ofgem for decision. SQ clarified the decision is expected by 09 October 2023, which is different to the date on the slide.
- **P459 'Allowing different Supplier Agents to be appointed to Import and Export MSIDs':** on hold. LJ shared that there was a workgroup assessment planned for September 2023, however an alternative solution has subsequently been proposed and now being considered. It was noted that if this solution is agreed a BSC modification will likely not be needed. LJ commented that PPs could reach out to him for further details on this.
- **P460 'Amend the responsibility to propose and consult on a MHHS Migration Plan':** LJ shared this is with Ofgem for decision. SQ added that the decision dates are currently not on Ofgem's website, the plan is to come to a decision by the end of October 2023 however they would be trying for a decision before this date.

#### REC

HT, the REC representative provided an overview of their change updates.

- **R0083 & R0083A 'Changes to Supply Number Format for MHHS'**: HT shared they are awaiting authority decision on 20 October 2023.
- **R0062 'Removal of ERDA meteringPointEnergyFlow change restriction'**: HT shared this is currently in impact assessment.

TC highlighted that the interface documents do not show the effective to and from date, and asked if there has been consideration taken of the impact of changing this or defining the process, in relation to R0062. HT responded that they would have to take this away and come back with feedback. HT noted that the theory is that the changes are an error in the first place, and this is being considered.

**ACTION CCAG22-02:** REC to take away the comment on R0062 and feedback to CCAG members.

SJ, the RECCo representative queried if certain elements of R0062 are a MHHS Design or REC issue. TC highlighted concerns around an incorrect design causing issues in settlement and DUoS billing. MH took an action to take this away and feedback.

**ACTION CCAG22-03:** Programme to assess if elements of R0062 are a MHHS Design or REC issue.

- **R0043 'Commissioning of Works using shared Meter Operator services by the Crowded Meter Room Co-ordinator (CMRC)'**: HT noted that REC believe there is a role code available for this, and if so then the dependency will no longer be there and R0043 can take a less urgent path. HT noted she will be having discussions this week and provide any feedback at the next CCAG.
- **R0117 'Retrospective Implementation of Distribution Code Use of System Agreement (DCUSA) DCP 282'**: HT shared that this change has gone through consultation and final assessments are now in progressed. A question was raised at the previous CCAG if this was needed in the MHHS design, it was noted that this will be covered in the Programme's log updates.
- **R0132 'Change the Logical Format of the J0001 (D150001) Market Participant Role Code data item to Char(2)'**: HT shared that a new impact assessment is underway.
- **R0139 'Alignment of Market Message D0225 'consent to share flag' to support PSR data sharing'**: HT shared that this is a new change to the flow on the back of the MHHS Design. It will likely to be low impact and only be a shift in how the data item is used.

HT noted updates on a list of impacts which have subsequently moved on or developed, with no significant impacts. Please see slide 20 for information on these.

### Programme

MH provided an overview of the horizon scanning log changes that they believed will impact them and confirmed no impacts from others. Please see slide 21 for further details on these items.

- **R0083 and R0083A**: no impact on design.
- **R0095**: this could impact Programme design dependent on the solution proposal. MH shared that the Programme will be waiting until the proposed solution is stated before making any further comments.
- **R0101**: no impact on design.
- **R0102**: no impact on design, however MH noted that this will be essential for data cleansing.
- **R0043**: no impact. To contact HT offline if further information required on this item.
- **R0109**: awaiting proposed solution to review.
- **R0121**: no impact on design.
- **R0117**: waiting for change report before commenting on impact.
- **R0132**: Programme CR required to progress the update to the ISD documentation.
- **R0133**: no impact on design, however testing needs to confirm if no impact.
- **P459**: any alternative solution could have a large impact on design and the code changes that are being applied. MH noted that the Programme will continue to monitor the change.
- **R0093**: potential impact on the Programme if not implemented.

- **R0097:** potential impact on the Programme if not implemented.
- **DCP424:** currently no direct impact.
- **P460:** currently no impact unless it does not take place.
- **P455:** currently no direct impact.
- **P458:** this is with Ofgem.
- **R0143:** To reach out to RECCo to understand more on this.
- **R0144:** Programme impacts as per P0459.

No questions raised in the meeting. MH added that PPs could contact the Programme with any further challenges offline.

## 5. CDWG Escalations

AM shared that based on the MHHS Design baseline, the BSC Central Services Code Artefacts have been translated accurately (subject to CRs and DINs) and reviewed by Programme Participants (PPs). PPs comments have been addressed and discussed at the Code Drafting Working Group (CDWG).

AM therefore recommended approval of the Code Artefacts at CCAG. The Chair asked if any objections. No objections raised.

**DECISION CCAG-DEC36:** The CCAG approved the CDWG recommendation of BSC Central Services Code Artefacts.

AM shared that the Code Artefacts will be published and updated where relevant during the later Mop-up tranche.

## 6. CCAG Reporting, Risks and Milestones

### Code Workstream Summary

AM provided an update on the Code workstreams status against the plan. It was shared that the workstream is on track for M6 delivery in August 2024 and the lower-level tier 3 milestones are on track.

It was noted that Tranche 3, Migration, Governance/Qualification consultation comments have been published with how the Programme has addressed these included. It was shared that PPs could contact the Programme if their comment responses were not addressed fully.

### Top Programme Risks related to CCAG

AM walked through the top risks related to CCAG, noting that these are unchanged from last month. AM noted that risk R202 and R355 had the same mitigation actions and took an action to check this.

**ACTION CCAG22-04:** Programme to update mitigation action on R355.

### Mop-up planning activities

AM provided a high-level overview of the Mop-up approach and controls. AM shared that there were two activities that needed completing.

- 1) BSC artefacts which have not currently been amended. AM noted that the Programme will be having discussions with Elexon, and these will need to be delivered in the Mop-up process.
- 2) Artefacts that have previously been created or amended.

AM shared that every approved DIN will be tracked to a Code change. The December consultation should mean Code artefacts will reflect the Baseline Design up to IR6, and the February consultation will pick up any subsequent Design changes and any last remaining Code artefacts.

AM noted that there is a risk due to the number of documents needing to be consulted on in December and they are attempting to make these more specific.

TC highlighted that there could be mitigation in place which ensures PPs are aware of the amount of documentation needing to be reviewed. AM agreed and further noted that some changes will be more relevant than others.

AM shared that the Code Change Control Log will be populated with every approved DIN and other changes to ensure identified changes are tracked to a Code change. AM further noted that previously approved Code artefacts are version controlled and can only be formally updated through industry consultation followed by CCAG approval.

#### Amending Code milestones from Tier 2 to Tier 3 – CCAG Decision

AM provided the background for amending the code milestones.

Code milestones below the Tier 1 milestone (M6) are categorised as Tier 2 milestones. Tier 2 milestones are defined as milestones, which impact multiple workstreams. Tier 2 milestones require a CR to amend them and for the CR to be approved at the appropriate Level 2 or Level 3 decision group. Tier 3 milestones are owned and managed by the appropriate Level 3 decision group. To re-categorise the code milestones from Tier 2 to Tier 3, CCAG and PSH recommendation/approval is required.

AM added that the code workstream and CCAG believe the lower-level code milestones should be categorised as Tier 3 milestone. This will mean that CCAG own the milestones and to change them a CR is not required.

CW asked CCAG members to approve the request to PSG that they consider the above and approve/reject updating Code milestones from Tier 2, to Tier 3.

The Chair asked if there were any objections. No objections.

**DECISION CCAG-DEC37:** CCAG recommended a request to PSG that the code workstream milestones be updated from Tier 2 to Tier 3.

## 7. Consequential Code Change Delivery

### Elexon

AM provided Elexon's consequential change delivery update, as no representative at the meeting. It was noted that Elexon are on track with activities. No questions raised.

### DCUSA

AM shared DCUSA's consequential change delivery update, as no representative at the meeting. It was noted that the changes are categorised in two parts:

- Housekeeping changes which align with the new terminology introduced by the MHHS Programme and;
- Consequential changes which is the removal or addition of obligations to cater for the Programme changes but has a material impact on the current DCUSA legal text.

AM noted that a housekeeping change will be required at the end of the Programme to remove terminology no longer required. It was further noted that the changes are on track to be consulted on in October 2023 and if there any outstanding drafting, these can be picked up via a later consultation planned for December 2023.

### NGESO

ND, the NGESO representative shared that they had presented their in-depth plan of dealing with CUSC at the last CCAG. ND noted that they have a slot at the next TNUoS task force meeting on 11 October 2023 to discuss how they look at the charging methodology.

ND shared that they will be providing a more substantial update at the October CCAG once they have had further conversations with Ofgem and the Programme.

## 8. CDWG Update

AM provided an overview from the Code Drafting Working Group (CDWG), as per the slide.

TC asked if the Interface/Data Specification and Transition text consultation was going to plan. AM responded that it was, and the main priority is ensuring the consultation is out on time. AW, the RECCo representative further shared that the consultation would set out where they have got to with Programme changes and IR releases, with the expectation that the February Mop-up will sweep up anything else left over.

## 9. Summary and Next Steps

AC summarised the meeting actions as per the table above.

CW shared next steps and asked any other AOB.

The Chair thanks members for their contribution and closed the meeting.

**Date of next meeting: 25 October 2023**